

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/563,689	Applicant(s) BAVING ET AL.	
	Examiner JAMES M. HEWITT	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

(1) JAMES M. HEWITT.

(2) Bruce Hamburg.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 July 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112 2nd paragraph rejections of claims 10 and 17

Claims discussed:

10 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Hamburg agreed to amend the claims as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to overcome the noted 112 2nd paragraph rejections and place the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)